

Risk Assessment Form

ID: DR-GGC-RISK-206

Use this form for any detailed risk assessment unless a specific form is provided. Refer to your Summary of Hazards/Risks and complete forms as required, including those that are adequately controlled but could be serious in the absence of active management. The Action Plan and reply section is to help you pursue those requiring action.

Name of Assessor:	Stephen Gandy	Post Held:	Medical Physicist
Department:	MRI	Date (of initial review):	11/08/2022
Subject of Assessment: E.g.: hazard, task, equipment, location, people			
MRI scanning of patients who have non-coronary vascular stents implanted			
Hazards (Describe the harmful agent(s) and the adverse consequences they could cause)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-coronary vascular stents contain metallic components, and these could result in a translational force or torque being experienced as a result of the interaction of the static field with the implant. • Newly implanted stents (not subjected to endothelialisation) could theoretically migrate more easily, due to the aforementioned translational forces or torques. • RF fields could lead to heating of the metallic components. • There are many non-coronary vascular stents on the market worldwide, and it is not possible to individually assess the MR safety of every stent. 			
Description of Risk			
Describe the work that causes exposure to the hazard, and the relevant circumstances. Who is at risk? Highlight significant factors: what makes the risk more or less serious – e.g.: the time taken,			
<p>The theoretical risks described above of the interactions between the MRI environment and non-coronary vascular stents are not borne out in practice and there have been no published cases of patients with non-coronary vascular stents having any adverse outcome or injury as a result of being scanned in MRI.</p> <p>Non-coronary vascular stents are typically made from non-ferromagnetic or very weakly ferromagnetic material, which are not considered to be a projectile risk. Studies have shown the force exerted onto stents from being exposed to the static magnetic field is negligible when compared to the forces exerted on the implant by the flowing blood itself.</p> <p>Upon referring a patient for an MRI scan the referrer is required to complete a safety checklist where they should declare any patient implants. The patient is also taken through an MRI safety checklist upon arrival to the MRI department. Due to the format of the safety questionnaires used, patients are typically asked about implants three times in different ways, therefore the risk of misidentification is negligible.</p> <p>Any possible theoretical elevated risk of stent migration due to lack of endothelialisation are mitigated by recommending a 6-8 week delay between implantation of the stent and any initial MRI scan.</p> <p>RF mediated heating effects are minimised by ensuring that all pulse sequences are acquired with a duration of less than 15 minutes, and any consecutive pulse sequences are separated by a short time delay of 20 seconds.</p> <p>An extensive number of non-coronary vascular stents are on the market. Whilst it may not be possible to check specific safety advice for all stents used worldwide, the overwhelming scientific evidence suggests that there are no specific contraindications to patients with these implants undergoing MRI at 1.5T and 3.0T.</p>			
Existing Precautions		Describe how they might fail to prevent adverse outcomes.	
Patients are taken through an extensive MRI safety checklist to identify any implants that they may have		The patient may fail to declare an implant that they have.	

Level of Risk - Is the control of this risk adequate?

Give more than one risk level if the assessment covers a range of circumstances. You can use the 'matrix' to show how 'likelihood' and 'consequences' combine to give a conclusion. Also, be critical of existing measures: if you can think how they might fail, or how they could be improved, these are indications of a red or orange risk.

Extensive literature review and departmental experience has demonstrated there is no known risk non-coronary vascular stent implant being exposed to the MRI environment at 1.5T or 3.0T. Therefore, if a patient with a non-coronary vascular stent is exposed to an MRI environment the risk is negligible.

The MRI safety questionnaire required to be performed by both the referrer and the patient ensures that the likelihood of an undeclared non-coronary vascular stent is a rare event. This gives the current level of risk as Low.

Risk Matrix

<u>Likelihood</u>	<u>Impact/Consequences</u>				
	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Extreme
Almost Certain	Medium	High	High	V High	V High
Likely	Medium	Medium	High	High	V High
Possible	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
Unlikely	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
Rare	Low	<u>Low</u>	Low	Medium	Medium

Very High High Medium Low

Current risk level

Given the current precautions, and how effective and reliable they are, what is the current level of risk? **Green** is the target – you have thought it through critically and you have no serious worries. Devise ways of making the risk green wherever you can. **Yellow** is acceptable but with some reservations. You can achieve these levels by reducing the inherent risk and or by effective and reliable precautions.

High (Orange) or Very High (Red) risks are unacceptable and must be acted on: use the Action Plan section to summarise and communicate the problems and actions required.

Action Plan (if risk level is High (Orange) or Very High (Red))

Use this part of the form for risks that require action. Use it to communicate, with your Line Manager or Risk Coordinator or others if required. If using a copy of this form to notify others, they should reply on the form and return to you. Check that you do receive replies.

Describe the measures required to make the work safe. Include hardware – engineering controls, and procedures. Say what you intend to change. If proposed actions are out with your remit, identify them on the plan below but do not say who or by when; leave this to the manager with the authority to decide this and allocate the resources required.

Proposed actions to control the problem List the actions required. If action by others is required, you must send them a copy	By Whom	Start date	Action due date

Action by Others Required - Complete as appropriate: (please tick or enter YES, name and date where appropriate)

Report up management chain for action	
Report to Estates for action	
Contact advisers/specialists	
Alert your staff to problem, new working practice, interim solutions, etc	

Reply

If you receive this form as a manager from someone in your department, you must decide how the risk is to be managed. Update the action plan and reply with a copy to others who need to know. If appropriate, you should note additions to the Directorate / Service Risk Register.

If you receive this as an adviser or other specialist, reply to the sender and investigate further as required.

Date of last review:

As per Qpulse record

Next review date:

As per Qpulse record